

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/780,237 | 02/17/2004 | Brian Jones | 60001.0289US01/MS305239.1 9655 | | |
| Christopher J. I | 7590 07/03/2007 Leonard | | EXAM | INER | |
| Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903 | | | HILLERY, NATHAN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | 2176 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/03/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/780,237 | JONES ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Nathan Hillery | 2176 |

| | Nathan Hillery | 2176 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or | and the second s | in ata di atalana | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | · • | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mphant / monamont | (1 102 02 1). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | II be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attact | hed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | • | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| 13. ☐ Other: | Doug Hutton | | |
| | Primary Examiner | | |
| | Technology Center 2100 | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/780,237

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments seek to overcome the 101, and double patenting rejections, thus requiring further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are substantially directed to the newly amended subject matter.